

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/779,117	02/08/2001	Thomas H. Distefano	TESSERA 3.0-070 DIV 9248		
530 7.	590 07/02/2002				
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,			EXAMINER		
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST			SMITH, SEA	SMITH, SEAN PRENTISS	
WESTFIELD,	, NJ 07090		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3729	" '	
			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2002	DATE MAILED: 07/02/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

()

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/779,117

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Thomas

aminer

Smith

Art Unit **3729**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres			
	for Reply				
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In				
mailing - If the - If NO - Failure - Any re	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the displayment term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ne statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will b and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maili ne application to become ABANDONED (35 U.3	e considered timely. ng date of this communication. S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 8, 20	01	•		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This act	ion is non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposi	ition of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u>	is/are	pending in the application.		
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/ar	e withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.		
6) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are objected to.		
8) 🔯	Claims 1-40		ction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	ation Papers				
• • • —	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objecte	ed to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d				
11)					
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.			
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).		
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have				
*9	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority depolication from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	this National Stage		
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		(e).		
	☐ The translation of the foreign language provisions				
15)					
Attachn					
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper	No(s)		
2) 🗌 N	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	(PTO-152)		
3) 🗍 In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:			

Art Unit:

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-28, drawn to interposer, classified in class 439, subclass 66.
 - II. Claims 29-37, drawn to method of making a interposer connection, classified in class 29, subclass 830.
 - III.. Claims 28-40, drawn to circuit assembly, classified in class 174, subclass 240.
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the interposer can be used in a semiconductor package.
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used to form a interconnection in a multilayered flip chip package.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09779117

Art Unit:

- 4. Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case process can be used to make a multilayered circuit board.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to Stephan Goldman on 6/13/02 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Art Unit:

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 8. should be directed to Sean Smith whose telephone number is (703) 305-0831.

PETER VO

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINES TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700